Deep Learning for Computer Vision
Part III: Advanced Topics
Outline

1. Introduction to neural networks – this week
   Basics of neural networks

   Basic applications of deep learning to image analysis and computer vision

3. Advanced topics and applications – 20.12
A bit more detailed outline

3. Advanced topics and applications – 20.12
   a. Visualization and diagnostics
   b. Localization and classification
   c. Unsupervised learning
Visualization and diagnostics
Understanding how a network works

• Challenging task
  • Multivariate interactions, information in different areas of the image are used in interaction with each other
  • Nonlinear mapping between features and labels
  • Hierarchical mapping, information gathered in multiple layers

• Definition of ‘understanding’ is crucial
  • What do you exactly want to get out of the system?
  • There are different approaches with different definitions
  • We will see one particular example: Visualizing features
Visualizing features

• Discussion based on [Zeiler and Fergus 2013]
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• Visualizing the input that activates a neuron in any layer
• “Deconvolutional” network
General network architecture

\[ a_L = \mathbf{W}_L h_{L-1} + b_L \in \mathbb{R}^K \quad p(y = k) = f_k(x; \theta) = \frac{\alpha_{L,k}}{\sum_{k' \in \mathcal{C}} e^{\alpha_{L,k'}}} \]

\[ \sum_{k \in \mathcal{C}} p(y = k) = 1 \]

\[ \mathcal{L}(y_n, f(x_n; \theta)) = -\sum_{k \in \mathcal{C}} \log(f_k(x_n; \theta)) \mathbf{1}(y_n = k) \]

- Convolution followed by ReLu nonlinearity followed by max-pooling [optionally]
- Fully connected layer: transformation followed by non-linearity

Similar to LeCun et al. 1998 and Krizhevsky et al. 2012
Interpreting internal features

Convolutional layers
What input pattern activates a given neuron in an intermediate layer?

Fully connected layers
Image dependent visualization

- The activation level in the neuron depends on the input image.
- For different inputs it will be activated at different levels.
- The difference is due to the non-linearity.
- If it was linear, neuron’s activation would be based on the respective linear projection.

- Analysis should be based on the input image.
- The new question: “In the input image which pattern caused the activation in a given neuron in an intermediate layer?”

Max-pooling
Size of the pattern in the input image

- Size of the input pattern changes with respect to the receptive field
- Depending on the layer the neuron sits, its receptive field changes
- The size of the input pattern changes as well.
- The image patch that activates the blue neuron is larger than the one that activates the red neuron
Operations in the forward pass

• Consider the operations we need to do in order to compute the activation in the blue neuron from the layer below

• Three operations
  • Convolutions with a set of filters
    \[ a_{l,k}^{(x,y)} = \left[ \sum_j w_{l,k,j} * h_{l-1,j} + b_{l,k} \right]_{(x,y)} \]
  • Non-linearity with ReLu function
    \[ h_{l,k}^{(x,y)} = \sigma \left( a_{l,k}^{(x,y)} \right) \]
  • Max-pooling
The idea

- Run an input image forward and compute all the features
- Keep the activation of the neuron you want and set the rest to 0.
- Starting from the same layer run the operations in reverse order.

- Unpool
- Rectify
- Transposed convolution
- A linked reverse "deconvolutional" network
- Modified layers are the inputs
The idea

[Image from Zeiler and Fergus 2013]
Inverting the operations – max pooling

• Keep the max locations while forward passing the image
• While *unpooling* place the values to the respective positions
• Pooling leads to information loss
• It is not possible to regenerate this information
• Instead zero values are placed for the locations where activations are discarded during forward pass

[Image from Zeiler and Fergus 2013]
Inverting the operations - ReLu

- Only keeps the positive layers
- As the reverse the same function is used
- ReLu yields only positive activation maps
- To keep the activation maps the same, ReLu is used again to keep the activations during reconstruction positive
- You can in theory, also use the inverse of a function
Inverting the operations - Convolution

- Transposed convolution
- The kernel is the kernel used in the forward pass, flipped horizontally and vertically
[Images from Zeiler and Fergus 2013]
Figure 2. Visualization of features in a fully trained model. For layers 2-5 we show the top 9 activations in a random subset of feature maps across the validation data, projected down to pixel space using our deconvolutional network approach. Our reconstructions are not samples from the model: they are reconstructed patterns from the validation set that cause high activations in a given feature map. For each feature map we also show the corresponding image patches. Note: (i) the strong grouping within each feature map, (ii) greater invariance at higher layers and (iii) exaggeration of discriminative parts of the image, e.g. eyes and noses of dogs (layer 4, row 1, cols 1). Best viewed in electronic form.

[Images from Zeiler and Fergus 2013]
Visualization and Understanding Convolutional Networks

Layer 3

Figure 2. Visualization of features in a fully trained model. For layers 2-5 we show the top 9 activations in a random subset of feature maps across the validation data, projected down to pixel space using our deconvolutional network approach. Our reconstructions are not samples from the model: they are reconstructed patterns from the validation set that cause high activations in a given feature map. For each feature map we also show the corresponding image patches. Note: (i) the strong grouping within each feature map, (ii) greater invariance at higher layers and (iii) exaggeration of discriminative parts of the image, e.g. eyes and noses of dogs (layer 4, row 1, cols 1). Best viewed in electronic form.
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Figure 2. Visualization of features in a fully trained model. For layers 2-5 we show the top 9 activations in a random subset of feature maps across the validation data, projected down to pixel space using our deconvolutional network approach. Our reconstructions are not samples from the model: they are reconstructed patterns from the validation set that cause high activations in a given feature map. For each feature map we also show the corresponding image patches. Note: (i) the strong grouping within each feature map, (ii) greater invariance at higher layers and (iii) exaggeration of discriminative parts of the image, e.g. eyes and noses of dogs (layer 4, row 1, cols 1). Best viewed in electronic form.
Localization and classification
Class activation maps

• So far for classification we were only interested in determining the class assignment
• We also had a separate localization network that relied on separate classification tasks at proposal regions
• With slight modifications classification networks can identify approximate locations
• Based on global average pooling idea
• Discussion based on [Zhou et al. 2016]
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Normal classification network

- Convolution followed by ReLu nonlinearity followed by max-pooling [optionally]
- Fully connected layer: transformation followed by non-linearity
- Output: Number of neurons equal number of classes

Lastly fully connected layers summarizing the feature maps
Normal classification network

- Convolution followed by ReLu nonlinearity followed by max-pooling [optionally]
- Fully connected layer: transformation followed by non-linearity

Information at this layer is quite complicated

Lastly fully connected layers summarizing the feature maps

Output
Number of neurons equal number of classes
We have also seen fully convolutional networks for segmentation

- Image size outputs
- Replaced the final fully connected layers
- Upsampling using transpose convolutions or bilinear upsampling followed by convolutions
Combining these ideas

- Class activation maps combines these ideas
- Using Global Average Pooling

  - Like normal pooling, applies to each channel in a layer separately

\[
h_{l,k} = \frac{1}{M_{l-1}N_{l-1}} \sum_{x,y} h_{l-1,k}^{(x,y)}
\]

  - Averaging all the information to a single number!
  - Then continue as usual

\[
a_{L,j} = \sum_k w_{L,j,k} h_{l,k}
\]
Activation Maps

• Per-class weighted sum of all the channels before global average pooling yields the class-specific activation map

[Image taken from Zhou et al. 2016]
• Network architecture preceding the GAP layer can change
• Form of weak-supervision for localization

[Image taken from Zhou et al. 2016]
Various applications

- Especially in medical imaging
- Labels are expensive and difficult to get.
- Approximate localization with CAM allow identifying areas of interest
- Also weak supervision to train stronger localization algorithms

Weakly Supervised Localisation for Fetal Ultrasound Images
Unsupervised learning
Very coarse view on supervised learning

**Supervised learning**
- Patterns between two types of data
- Goal: predicting one from the other
- Examples have both types of data
- At prediction only one exist
General idea in the supervised approach

• Algorithms assume a mathematical model between features and labels

\[
x \xrightarrow{\text{Machine Learning Algorithm Parameters - } \theta} y
\]

• Estimate the parameters of the model to best predict labels from features in the training examples

\[
y = f(x|\theta)
\]
Unsupervised learning

**Unsupervised learning of features**
- Filters are important for performing image analysis tasks
- So far, we determine features in a supervised way, task-specific manner
- Determine features in an unsupervised manner
- Examples have only features

**Unsupervised learning of distributions**
- Patterns within the data
- Goal: describe variability in the data
- Estimate the distribution of the data
- There is still a training dataset
- Examples have only features

Both are unsupervised in the sense that there are no labels!
General idea in unsupervised distribution learning

- Algorithm assumes a mathematical model for the features
- Ideally this is the probability distribution of features

![Diagram showing distribution modeling and sampling model]

- Distribution modeling
  - Parameters: $\theta$
  - A real sample $x$
  - Likelihood of the sample $p(x|\theta)$

- Sampling model
  - Parameters: $\theta$
  - A sample from a known distribution $z$
  - E.g. $\mathcal{N}(0, I)$
  - A sample from the distribution of features $x$
Why is this useful?

• Sample from the distribution of image to generate images

Figure 1: Class-conditional samples generated by our model.
Why is this useful?

• Style transfer

[Figure from Karras, Laine and Aila, 2018]
Why is this useful?

Improving resolution of an image

Bayesian reconstruction of medical images

bicubic (21.59dB/0.6423) SRGAN (21.15dB/0.6868) original

[Figure from Ledig et al. 2017] [Figure from Tezcan et al. 2018]
Why is this useful?

• Many more applications:
  • In-painting
  • Realistic video and image editing
  • Video frame prediction
  • Outlier detection
  • ...

• Scientifically
  • Building a model of the visual world
  • Possibly an important component in human learning.
    • We do not see 100s of cups to understand what a cup is
    • We constantly observe around and get visual input to our brains.
Images are big

- Images are very high dimensional
- Consider a small image of 64x64
- Even that is 4096 dimensional!
- We need to keep that in mind when we think about unsupervised learning.
The most straightforward way

- Kernel density estimation (KDE)
- Given a sample set of images the naïve way is
  \[ p(x|\theta) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n} K_{\theta}(x, x_n) \]
  \[ \int K_{\theta}(x, x_n) dx = 1 \]
- Place a ”kernel” around each training sample
- Determine the likelihood of a new sample based on these kernels
- If kernels depends on Euclidean distance, e.g. Gaussian kernel, then likelihood is related to the distance in Euclidean space.
Bad idea due to the dimensions

- For the KDE to work, roughly speaking, you need to somehow “fill” the space, e.g.

- To fill a space of 4096 dimensions, you need a lot of samples, we need to find a better solution.
Latent variable models

- Assume that images live in a lower dimensional sub-space
- We build a mapping between them

\[ p(x|z) \]

\[ p(x) = \int p(x|z)p(z)dz \]

\[ x \in \mathbb{R}^D, \ z \in \mathbb{R}^d, \ d \ll D \]
Probabilistic principal component analysis

- Assumes the mapping is a linear one
- Probabilistic principal component analysis [Tipping & Bishop 1999]

\[ p(x) = \int p(x|z)p(z)dz \]
\[ p(x|z) = \mathcal{N}(Wz + \mu_x, \sigma^2) \]
\[ p(z) = \mathcal{N}(0, I) \]
\[ \mu_x : \text{mean image} \]
\[ \sigma^2 : \text{noise} \]
Link to PCA

- Maximum likelihood estimate of the parameters yield the PCA
- Eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the sample covariance matrix
- Derivation in [Tipping and Bishop 1999]

\[
W_{ML} = U_d \left( \Lambda_d - \sigma^2 I \right)^{1/2} R
\]

\[
W_{ML} = U_d \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda_d & -\sigma^2 I \\ \end{pmatrix}^{1/2} R
\]

\[d \text{ eigenvectors} \quad \begin{pmatrix} d \text{ eigenvalues} \\ \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} \text{arbitrary rotation} \\ \end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
\mu_x : \text{ sample mean image} \quad \sigma^2_{ML} = \frac{1}{D - d} \sum_{q=d+1}^{D} \lambda_q
\]
Non-linear maps

• In supervised learning linear maps were not enough
• The same idea applies here

\[ p(x|z) = \int p(x|z)p(z)dz \]

\[ x \in \mathcal{M} \subset \mathbb{R}^D, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad d \ll D \]

\[ p(z) = \mathcal{N}(0, I), \quad \mu_x : \text{mean image} \]

\[ \sigma^2 : \text{noise} \]
Density networks


\[ p(x|z; \theta) : \text{Parameterize with a network with parameters } \theta \]

\[ p(x; \theta) = \int p(x|z; \theta)p(z)dz \]

For the given samples, maximize with respect to \( \theta \)

\[ \prod_n p(x_n; \theta) \]

\[ = \prod_n \int p(x_n|z; \theta)p(z)dz \]

using Monte Carlo integration

\[ \sum_n \ln \frac{1}{R} \sum_r p(x_n|z_r; \theta), \ z_r \sim p(z) \]

Sampling was not efficient for very large dimensional problems, need too many samples

MacKay hinted importance sampling
Two avenues – both end of 2013

**Generative Adversarial Network**

Sampler

A sample from a known distribution

\[ z \xrightarrow{\text{Machine Learning Algorithm Parameters - } \theta} x \]

A sample from the distribution of features

**Variational Auto-encoders**

Distributional model

A real sample

\[ x \xrightarrow{\text{Machine Learning Algorithm Parameters - } \theta} p(x|\theta) \]

Likelihood of the sample

---
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Variational auto-encoders

Builds on density networks concept but instead of Monte-Carlo uses variational inference with a network parameterized sampling (approximate) distribution

\[
\ln p(x; \theta) = \ln \int p(x|z; \theta)p(z)dz \\
= \ln \int p(x|z; \theta)p(z) \frac{q(z|x; \phi)}{q(z|x; \phi)} dz \\
\geq \int q(z|x; \phi) \ln p(x|z; \theta) \frac{p(z)}{q(z|x; \phi)} dz \\
= \mathbb{E}[\ln p(x|z; \theta)] - D_{KL}[q(z|x; \phi)\|p(z)] \\
\ln p(x; \theta) \geq \mathbb{E}_{q(z|x; \phi)}[\ln p(x|z; \theta)] - D_{KL}[q(z|x; \phi)\|p(z)]
\]

Evidence lower-bound: Maximize this instead of real likelihood

Let’s find a distribution more focused so I will sample for less for the same approximation

**Importance Sampling**
Best option is the posterior \( p(z|x) \)

**Jensen’s Inequality**
Variational auto-encoders

**Encoding Model**

Takes an image and maps it to the posterior distribution in the latent space. Encodes to the lower dimensional space.

\[ q(z|x; \phi) \approx p(z|x) \]

**Decoding Model**

Takes the lower dimensional representation and maps to an image. Can be used as a sampler. Can be used as a reconstruction tool.

\[ p(x|z; \theta) \]
Variational auto-encoders

\[ q(z|x; \phi) = \mathcal{N}(z; \mu_z(x; \phi), \Sigma_z(x; \phi)) \]

\[ p(x|z; \theta) = \mathcal{N}(x; \mu_x(z; \theta), \Sigma_x(z; \theta)) \]

Both Gaussian Models

Homework: Can you determine the link with the probabilistic PCA model?
Difference with PCA

Image patches from Magnetic Resonance Images of the brain

Real patches of 28x28

VAE Generated

PCA

60 components

250 components

[Tezcan et al. 2018]
Generative adversarial networks

Instead of an explicit probabilistic model, a GAN is a sampling tool that generates samples from the data distribution.

**Generator**
Generates realistic looking images from random samples in the latent space.

\[ z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I) \]
\[ z \rightarrow x \]

**Discriminator**
Tries to classify images into two categories: Real or generated (Fake).

\[ x \rightarrow \text{Real or Fake} \]
During training they compete

Generator - G
Tries to create samples that can fool the discriminator

$z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$

$z \rightarrow x$

Discriminator - D
Tries to identify the images the generator creates

$x \rightarrow$ Real or Fake

Solve this problem: Optimize the network weights with a two-player game

$$\min_{\theta} \max_{\phi} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{\text{real}}}(x) [\ln D(x; \phi)] + \mathbb{E}_{z \sim p(z)} [\ln(1 - D(G(z; \theta)))]$$
Random samples

[Images from Goodfellow et al. 2014]
Very active area of research

- The model is not yet peer-reviewed
- However, the samples they claim to generate are remarkable.
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- The model is not yet peer-reviewed
- However, the samples they claim to generate are remarkable.

Interpolation between images

\( \psi = 1 \)  \( \psi = 0.7 \)  \( \psi = 0.5 \)  \( \psi = 0 \)  \( \psi = -0.5 \)  \( \psi = -1 \)
Unsupervised learning

Unsupervised learning of features

- Filters are important for performing image analysis tasks
- So far, we determine features in a supervised way, task-specific manner

Unsupervised learning of distributions

- Patterns within the data
- Goal: describe variability in the data
- Estimate the distribution of the data
- Only features
- Examples have only features

• Determine features in an unsupervised manner
• Examples have only features
Unsupervised learning of features

• Features are important, they are the essential building blocks
• For any task it is important to get the right features
• It requires large number of labelled images to do this
  1. It would be wonderful if we could do it with only few images
  2. Humans do not seem to require lots of labelled images for good features, assuming humans do have good features
  3. Are there features that can be used for any visual task?
Auto-encoding models

The bottleneck layer does not allow the network to learn an identity map. It learns to summarize the most important information for reconstruction.

\[ f(x; \theta) = x \]

\[ \min_{\theta} \| x - f(x; \theta) \|_2^2 \]
Auto-encoding models

$f(x; \theta) = x$

Minimization only requires the images. The goal is to be able to reconstruct the image with high fidelity.
Auto-encoding models

Encoding path

Decoding path
Auto-encoding models

Features learnt here can then be translated to another task either directly or by fine-tuning, i.e. starting the optimization from the pre-learnt weights.
In practice

• The features learnt from a simple auto-encoder can be very helpful
• They are not however, extremely useful
• In the end, you may still need large number of labelled examples
• Not as large as training from scratch though
An example from more recent works – Context-Encoder

**Context Encoders: Feature Learning by Inpainting**
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